arXiv:0804.4373v2 [math.OA] 31 Oct 2008

NONCOMMUTATIVE TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY OF ENDOMORPHISMS OF CUNTZ ALGEBRAS

ADAM SKALSKI AND JOACHIM ZACHARIAS

Abstract. Noncommutative topological entropy estimates are obtained for polynomial gauge invariant endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras, generalising known results for the canonical shift endomorphisms. Exact values for the entropy are computed for a class of permutative endomorphisms related to branching function systems introduced and studied by Bratteli, Jorgensen and Kawamura.

In [Vo] D. Voiculescu defined noncommutative topological entropy for automorphisms (or completely positive maps) of nuclear C^*-algebras based on completely positive approximations which naturally extends the classical definition of topological entropy for continuous maps of compact spaces. His definition has been subsequently extended to the larger class of exact C^*-algebras (by Brown [Br]) and intensively studied in the past decade (we refer to the book [NS] for a comprehensive discussion and many examples). For Cuntz algebras and its various generalisations entropy estimates have only been obtained for canonical endomorphisms which may be regarded as noncommutative extension of classical shift maps. In [Ch] M. Choda showed that the noncommutative topological entropy of the canonical shift endomorphism of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ is equal to $\log N$. Later in [BG] F. Boca and P. Goldstein used a different method which allowed them to compute entropy for the shift-type endomorphisms on arbitrary Cuntz-Krieger algebras. Their techniques were extended in [SZ] to determine the values of noncommutative entropy and pressure for the multidimensional shifts on C^*-algebras associated with higher-rank graphs. In all of these results it turned out that the entropy of the canonical shift endomorphism is the same as the entropy of the corresponding classical shift.

In this paper we try to estimate entropies for more general endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras. Endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras have been studied intensivly and have applications in subfactors, quantum field theory and other areas. A particularly interesting class is formed by those which leave $\mathcal{F}_N$, the canonical UHF-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_N$, invariant. Besides the canonical shift this class contains the recently introduced and intensively studied *permutative polynomial endomorphisms.* We refer to [BJ] and [Ka] for connections with branching function systems and permutative representations and [CS_{1-2}] and [CKS] for connections with subfactors and Mathematical Physics. In particular [CS_2] draws an interesting connection between our entropy estimates and indices of endomorphisms on $\mathcal{O}_2$.

In the first part of this paper we give a general upper bound (in Theorem 2.2) for the entropy of endomorphism which leave $\mathcal{F}_N$ invariant and which verify a certain

---

Permanent address of the first named author: Department of Mathematics, University of Łódź, ul. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L55, Secondary 37B40.

Key words and phrases. Noncommutative topological entropy, Cuntz algebra, polynomial endomorphisms.

'finite-range' condition (polynomial endomorphisms). Easy examples show that this bound is sharp. We note that the same methods apply to similar endomorphisms of Cuntz-Krieger algebras, graph and even higher-rank graph C^*-algebras.

In the second part we obtain exact values of the entropy for all polynomial endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_2$ coming from permutations of rank 2 (fully classified in [Ka]). The results of the entropy computations are given in the Table 1 in Section 3. Just as the canonical endomorphism they all leave the standard maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathcal{C}_2$ of $\mathcal{O}_2$ invariant and thus correspond there to a transformations of its spectrum, the Cantor set. But contrary to the case of the shift endomorphism it may happen that the entropy of the endomorphism is greater than the entropy of its restriction to $\mathcal{C}_2$. However, for all permutative endomorphisms we consider we can find other non-standard masas which are invariant and such that the restriction to this masa has the same entropy as the whole endomorphism.

Our results lead to the following questions about endomorphisms of Cuntz algebras which we have not been able to answer.

1. Are there polynomial (or more general) endomorphisms for which the non-commutative topological entropy is strictly greater than the supremum of the entropies over all classical (commutative) subsystems? In general there are only few known examples of C^*-dynamical systems for which this may happen. (E.g. shifts on C^*-algebras of certain bitstreams have this property; c.f. Chapter 12 in [NS].)
2. Is the entropy of a polynomial (or more general gauge invariant) endomorphism always equal to the entropy of its restriction to the UHF-algebra $\mathcal{F}_N$?

1. CUNTZ ALGEBRAS AND THEIR ENDOMORPHISMS

Definition and basics of Cuntz algebras. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall ([Cu$_1$]) that the *Cuntz algebra* $\mathcal{O}_N$ is the unique C^*-algebra generated by isometries $s_1, \ldots, s_N$ subject to the relations

$$s_i^* s_j = \delta_{i,j} 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i s_i^* = 1.$$

$\mathcal{O}_N$ is simple and nuclear. To describe elements in $\mathcal{O}_N$ it is convenient to introduce the following multi-index notation. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the set of multi-indices of length k with values in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{J}_k$, so that $\mathcal{J}_k = \{1, \ldots, N\}^k$; we write $\mathcal{J}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{J}_k$ (where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$). Multi-indices in $\mathcal{J}$ will be denoted either by capital Latin letters $I, J, \ldots$ (as in [BJ]) or little Greek letters $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ (as in [Cu$_1$]), with the standard notation for concatenation: if $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k) \in \mathcal{J}_k$, $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_l) \in \mathcal{J}_l$, then $IJ := (i_1, \ldots, i_k, j_1, \ldots, j_l) \in \mathcal{J}_{k+l}$. The length of $I \in \mathcal{J}_k$ is the number k denoted by $|I| = k$. We will often write $p = |P|$, $l = |L|$, etc.

For $J \in \mathcal{J}_k$, $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_k)$ we write $s_J = s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_k}$ (and $s_\emptyset := 1$). It follows from the relations defining $\mathcal{O}_N$ that every element in the $*$-algebra generated by $s_1, \ldots, s_N$ can be written as a linear combination of expressions of the form $s_I s_J^*$, where $I, J \in \mathcal{J}$. This dense $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_N$ is the *polynomial subalgebra* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_N)$ of $\mathcal{O}_N$.

Notice that the span of $\{s_I s_J^* : I, J \in \mathcal{J}_k\}$ is isomorphic to M_{N^k} (also denoted F_k), where $s_I s_J^*$ corresponds to the matrix unit $e_{I,J}$. The subalgebra generated by

$\bigcup_k \{s_I s_J^* : I, J \in \mathcal{J}_k\}$ is a UHF-algebra of constant multiplicity N which will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}_N$. There is a conditional expectation $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{O}_N \to \mathcal{F}_N$ which is given by integration over the gauge action (c.f. [Cu$_1$]). The *standard masa* (maximal abelian subalgebra) is the algebra $\mathcal{C}_N$ generated by $\{s_I s_I^* : I \in \mathcal{J}\}$. It is maximal abelian in $\mathcal{O}_N$ and $\mathcal{F}_N$. So we have a chain of natural inclusions

$$\mathcal{C}_N \subseteq \mathcal{F}_N \subseteq \mathcal{O}_N$$

Besides the standard masa $\mathcal{C}_N$ we will consider some other masas in $\mathcal{F}_N$. Recall that a masa $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{F}_N$ is said to be a *product masa* if it is of the form $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$, where each C_i is a masa in M_N. Any two product masas are approximately unitarily equivalent but will be conjugate only in exceptional cases. *Special product masas* are of the type $\mathcal{C} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$, where $C_i = C_j$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. It is not hard to see that each such $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of continuous functions on the infinite product $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\{1, \ldots, N\}$ of N letters, which is in turn is homeomorphic to the Cantor set $\mathfrak{C}$.

Endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_N$. The *canonical shift endomorphism* $\theta : \mathcal{O}_N \to \mathcal{O}_N$ is given by the formula

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i x s_i^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_N.$$

It leaves $\mathcal{F}_N$ and $\mathcal{C}_N$ invariant, and also every special product masa. It is easy to see that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a special product masa and if we regard $x \in \mathcal{C}$ as a function on $\mathfrak{C}$ then $\theta(x) = x \circ T$, where $T : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}$ is the usual one-sided shift on $\mathfrak{C} = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\{1, \ldots, N\}$.

It is well-known ([Cu$_2$]) that there is a bijective correspondence between $*$-endomorphisms of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ and unitaries in $\mathcal{O}_N$. Given a unitary $u \in \mathcal{O}_N$, $us_1, \ldots, us_N$ verify again the Cuntz algebra relations so that $s_i \mapsto us_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ extends uniquely to a unital endomorphism ρ_u. Conversely, given a unital endomorphism ρ, $u_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho(s_i)s_i^*$ is a unitary such that $\rho_{u_\rho} = \rho$ and $u_{\rho_u} = u$. Easy examples are the *gauge automorphism*, where $u = \lambda 1$, with λ a complex number of modulus 1, or the canonical shift endomorphism, where $u = \sum_{i,i=1}^{N} s_i s_j s_i^* s_j^* \in \mathcal{F}_N$.

A description of the action of ρ_u on higher monomials can be given as follows: for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if we define

$$u_k = u\theta(u) \ldots \theta^{k-1}(u), \tag{1.1}$$

where θ is the shift endomorphism defined above, then $\rho_u(s_I) = u_k s_I$ for all multi-indices $I \in \mathcal{J}_k$ and thus $\rho_u(s_I s_J^*) = u_k s_I s_J^* u_l^*$ for $I \in \mathcal{J}_k$ and $J \in \mathcal{J}_l$.

If $x \in \mathcal{F}_N$ then $\rho_u(x) = \lim_{k\to\infty} u_k x u_k^*$; it is also known that ρ_u preserves $\mathcal{F}_N$ iff $u \in \mathcal{F}_N$ iff ρ_u commutes with all gauge automorphisms ([Cu$_2$]). These endomorphisms are thus called *gauge invariant* and for them we also have $\tau \circ \mathbb{E} \circ \rho_u = \tau \circ \mathbb{E}$ where τ the unique trace on $\mathcal{F}_N$.

Permutative endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_N$ are defined as follows. Suppose that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and σ is a permutation of the set $\mathcal{J}_k$. Put

$$u_\sigma = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_k} s_{\sigma(J)} s_J^*.$$

It is easy to check that u_σ is a unitary in $\mathcal{O}_N$ more precisely it lies in $F_k = M_{N^k}$ and can be regarded as the permutation matrix corresponding to σ. The permutative endomorphism ρ_σ corresponding to the permutation σ is defined as

ρ_{u_σ}. For instance the canonical endomorphism θ is permutative. Several other examples will be discussed in detail in the last section of this note. Remark here only that the identity, canonical shift endomorphism and the flip automorphisms exchanging the generators all belong to this class.

Finally the following is evident from the above correspondence between unitaries and endomorphisms.

Remark 1.1. ρ_u leaves the polynomial subalgebra $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_N)$ invariant iff $u \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_N)$.

Such endomorphisms are thus called *polynomial endomorphisms* and we will only consider those in this paper.

Three technical Lemmas. Define for $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$A_{k,l} = \{s_I s_J^* : I \in \mathcal{J}_k, J \in \mathcal{J}_l\}. \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$F_{k,l} = \text{Lin } A_{k,l}. \tag{1.3}$$

$\bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}_0} A_{k,l}$ is total in $\mathcal{O}_N$ and the linear span $\text{Lin}\left(\bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}_0} A_{k,l}\right) = \bigcup_{k,l\in\mathbb{N}_0} F_{k,l}$ is just the polynomial subalgebra $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_N)$.

There is a well-known $*$-isomorphism between $\mathcal{O}_N$ and $M_{N^k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_N$ defined by

$$\Psi_k(X) = \sum_{K,M\in\mathcal{J}_k} e_{K,M} \otimes s_K^* X s_M, \quad X \in \mathcal{O}_N,$$

where $e_{K,M}$ denote the matrix units in M_{N^k} as before.

The following Lemma is elementary, but crucial for what follows. It shows that neither in Lemma 2 of [BG] nor in Lemma 2.2 of [SZ] was it essential that one dealt with the shift-type transformations.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $k, p, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq \max\{p, l\}$. Suppose that $X \in F_{p,l}$. If $p > l$ then*

$$\Psi_k(X) = \sum_{J\in\mathcal{J}_{p-l}} T_J \otimes s_J, \tag{1.4}$$

where $T_J \in M_{N^k}$, $\|T_J\| \leq \|X\|$ for each $J \in \mathcal{J}_{p-l}$; if $p < l$ then

$$\Psi_k(X) = \sum_{J\in\mathcal{J}_{l-p}} T_J \otimes s_J^*,$$

where $T_J \in M_{N^k}$, $\|T_J\| \leq \|X\|$ for each $J \in \mathcal{J}_{l-p}$. Finally if $p = l$ then

$$\Psi_k(X) = T \otimes 1,$$

where $T \in M_{N^k}$, $\|T\| \leq \|X\|$.

Proof. Suppose that $p > l$ and let $X = \sum_{P\in\mathcal{J}_p, L\in\mathcal{J}_l} \gamma_{P,L} s_P s_L^*$, where $\gamma_{P,L} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_k(X) &= \sum_{K,M\in\mathcal{J}_k} \sum_{P\in\mathcal{J}_p, L\in\mathcal{J}_l} \gamma_{P,L} e_{K,M} \otimes s_K^* s_P s_L^* s_M \\
&= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{J}_p, L\in\mathcal{J}_l} \sum_{K'\in\mathcal{J}_{k-p}, M'\in\mathcal{J}_{k-l}} \gamma_{P,L} e_{PK',LM'} \otimes s_{K'}^* s_{M'} \\
&= \sum_{P\in\mathcal{J}_p, L\in\mathcal{J}_l} \sum_{K'\in\mathcal{J}_{k-p}, J\in\mathcal{J}_{p-l}} \gamma_{P,L} e_{PK',LK'L'} \otimes s_J.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that (1.4) holds for some $T_J \in M_{N^k}$.

Observe now that for each $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a family of complex $n \times n$ matrices $\{\alpha_J : J \in \mathcal{J}_m\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\| \sum_{J\in\mathcal{J}_m} \alpha_J \otimes s_J\|^2 &= \|(\sum_{J\in\mathcal{J}_m} \alpha_J \otimes s_J)^*(\sum_{K\in\mathcal{J}_m} \alpha_K \otimes s_K)\| \\ &= \| \sum_{J,K\in\mathcal{J}_m} \alpha_J^*\alpha_K \otimes s_J^* s_K\| = \| \sum_{J\in\mathcal{J}_m} \alpha_J^*\alpha_J \otimes 1\| = \| \sum_{J\in\mathcal{J}_m} \alpha_J^*\alpha_J\|,\end{aligned}$$

so in particular for any fixed $K \in \mathcal{J}_m$ we have

$$\|\alpha_K\| \leq \| \sum_{J\in\mathcal{J}_m} \alpha_J \otimes s_J\|.$$

Now connecting the above with the fact that Ψ_k is a *-homomorphism, we get for each $J \in \mathcal{J}_m$

$$\|T_J\| \leq \|\Psi_k(X)\| \leq \|X\|$$

and the proof is finished. The cases $p < l$ and $p = l$ follow in a similar way. ☐

Analogous statements remain true in the context of the Cuntz-Krieger, graph and higher-rank graph C^*-algebras. It can be used to estimate the entropy of a completely positive contractive map on the C^*-algebra of one of the types listed above if only we have control on 'how far' the map sends the canonical matrix units.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $u \in F_k$ be a unitary. Then for all $m, p, l \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\rho_u^m(F_{p,l}) \subseteq F_{p+m(k-1),l+m(k-1)}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show this for $m = 1$. Using the formula $\rho_u(s_P s_L^*) = u_p s_P s_L^* u_l^*$, where $p = |P|$ and $l = |L|$ (and u_p and u_l are as in (1.1)), this follows since if $u \in F_k$ then $u_p \in F_{p+k-1}$ and $u_l \in F_{l+k-1}$. ☐

If u is a permutation matrix we have a stronger statement.

Lemma 1.4. *Let σ be a permutation of $\mathcal{J}_k$, $p, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\rho_\sigma^m(A_{p,l}) \subseteq A_{p+m(k-1),l+m(k-1)}. \tag{1.5}$$

Further ρ_σ leaves both $\mathcal{F}_N$ and $\mathcal{C}_N$ invariant.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 1.3. ☐

If a unitary $u \in F_k$ is not a permutation matrix, the endomorphism ρ_u need not leave $\mathcal{C}_N$ invariant.

2. AN ENTROPY BOUND FOR GAUGE INVARIANT POLYNOMIAL ENDOMORPHISMS

Topological Entropy. Let A be a unital C^*-algebra. We say that (ϕ, ψ, C) is an *approximating triple* for A if C is a finite-dimensional C^*-algebra and both $\phi : C \to \mathsf{A}$, $\psi : \mathsf{A} \to C$ are unital and completely positive (ucp). This will be indicated by writing $(\phi, \psi, C) \in CPA(\mathsf{A})$. Whenever Ω is a finite subset of A ($\Omega \in FS(\mathsf{A})$) and $\varepsilon > 0$ the statement $(\phi, \psi, C) \in CPA(\mathsf{A}, \Omega, \varepsilon)$ means that $(\phi, \psi, C) \in CPA(\mathsf{A})$ and for all $a \in \Omega$

$$\|\phi \circ \psi(a) - a\| < \varepsilon.$$

Nuclearity of A is equivalent to the fact that for each $\Omega \in FS(\mathsf{A})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a triple $(\phi, \psi, C) \in CPA(\mathsf{A}, \Omega, \varepsilon)$. For such algebras one can define

$$\operatorname{rcp}(\Omega, \varepsilon) = \min\{\operatorname{rank} C : \ (\phi, \psi, C) \in CPA(\mathsf{A}, \Omega, \varepsilon)\},$$

where $\operatorname{rank} C$ denotes the dimension of a maximal abelian subalgebra of C. Let us recall the definition of noncommutative topological entropy in nuclear unital C^*-algebras, due to D.Voiculescu ([Vo]). Assume that A is nuclear and $\gamma : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ is a ucp map. For any $\Omega \in FS(\mathsf{A})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\operatorname{orb}^n(\Omega) = \Omega^{(n)} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n} \gamma^j(\Omega). \tag{2.1}$$

Then the (Voiculescu) *noncommutative topological entropy* is given by the formula:

$$\operatorname{ht}(\gamma) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0,\, \Omega \in FS(\mathsf{A})} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \log \operatorname{rcp}(\Omega^{(n)}, \varepsilon) \right).$$

As shown in [Vo] Proposition 4.8 the approximation entropy coincides with classical topological entropy in the commutative case (see [Wa], Chapter 7 for the definition of the latter). Another important property to be used in the sequel is the fact that the entropy decreases when passing to invariant subalgebras. More precisely,

Remark 2.1. Let A be a nuclear C^*-algebra, $\gamma : \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{A}$ ucp and $\mathsf{B} \subset \mathsf{A}$ a nuclear subalgebra such that $\gamma(\mathsf{B}) \subseteq \mathsf{B}$. Then $\operatorname{ht}(\gamma|_{\mathsf{B}}) \leq \operatorname{ht}(\gamma)$, where ht denotes the Voiculescu entropy.

Proof. The assertion holds true if ht denotes Brown's entropy and A, hence B, are exact ([Br]). But for unital completely positive maps on nuclear C^*-algebras the definition of the entropy given above coincides with that due to N. Brown. $\square$

We will also use the following version of the *Kolmogorov-Sinai property*: if $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of finite subsets of A such that $\bigcup_{i \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{orb}^n(\Omega_i)$ is total in A then $\operatorname{ht}(\gamma) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0, i \in I} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \log \operatorname{rcp}(\operatorname{orb}^n(\Omega_i), \varepsilon)\right)$. The proof is an easy modification of the one of Theorem 6.2.4 of [NS]. For further details and proofs and various related topics we refer to [NS]. Note that $\mathcal{O}_N$ as a unital nuclear C^*-algebra falls into the class considered above.

The Entropy Bound. The main general result of this note is the following theorem. The proof is a generalisation of that of Theorem 2.4 of [SZ] (see also [BG]), now using Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 instead of Lemma 2.2 of that paper. For the convenience of the reader we give a terse reproduction of the proof with the necessary changes.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in F_k$ be a unitary. Then*

$$ht(\rho_u) \leq (k-1) \log N.$$

In particular if σ is a permutation of $\mathcal{J}_k$, then this estimate holds true for the corresponding permutation endomorphism ρ_σ.

Proof. Put for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\omega_l = \bigcup_{p,q=1}^{l} A_{p,q}.$$

Fix $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$. As $\mathcal{O}_N$ is nuclear, there exists a triple $(\phi_0, \psi_0, M_{C_l}) \in CPA(\mathcal{O}_N, \omega_l, \frac{1}{4N^l}\delta)$. Fix further $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let

$$\omega_l^{(n)} = \bigcup_{p=0}^{n} \rho_u^p(\omega_l).$$

Put $m = n(k-1) + l$. Nuclearity of $\mathcal{O}_N$ implies that there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and ucp maps $\gamma : \Psi_m(\mathcal{O}_N) \to M_d$ and $\eta : M_d \to \mathcal{O}_N$ such that for all $a \in \omega_l^{(n)}$,

$$\|\eta \circ \gamma(a) - \Psi_m^{-1}(a)\| < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Let $\mu : M_{N^m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_N \to M_d$ be a ucp extension of γ. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_N \arrow[r, "\Psi_m"] \arrow[dddrr, "\psi"'] & \Psi_m(\mathcal{O}_N) \arrow[dd] & & \Psi_m(\mathcal{O}_N) \arrow[rr, "\Psi_m^{-1}"] \arrow[dr, "\gamma"'] \arrow[dd, phantom, "\cap\mid" description] & & \mathcal{O}_N \\
& & & & M_d \arrow[ur, "\eta"'] & \\
& M_{N^m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_N \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id} \otimes \psi_0"] & & M_{N^m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_N \arrow[ur, "\mu"'] & & \\
& & M_{N^m} \otimes M_{C_l} \arrow[ur, "\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi_0"] \arrow[uuurrr, "\phi"'] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider now any $X \in \omega_l$ and let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \leq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi \circ \psi(\rho_u^p(X)) - \rho_u^p(X)\| \\
&\qquad = \quad \|\eta \circ \mu \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi_0 \circ \psi_0) \circ \Psi_m(\rho_u^p(X)) - (\Psi_m^{-1} \circ \Psi_m)(\rho_u^p(X))\| \\
&\qquad \leq \quad \|(\mathrm{id} \otimes \phi_0 \circ \psi_0) \circ \Psi_m(\rho_u^p(X)) - \Psi_m(\rho_u^p(X))\| + \frac{\delta}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.3 implies that $\rho_u^p(X) \in F_{q,r}$, where $q, r \leq l + (k-1)p \leq m$. We can assume that for example $q > r$. Then Lemma 1.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\phi \circ \psi(\rho_u^p(X)) - \rho_u^p(X)\| \\
&\qquad < \quad \Big\| \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_{q-r}} T_J \otimes \big((\phi_0 \circ \psi_0)(s_J) - s_J\big) \Big\| + \frac{\delta}{2} \\
&\qquad < \quad 2N^m \frac{\delta}{4N^m} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta,
\end{aligned}$$

and we proved that

$$(\phi, \psi, M_{N^m} \otimes M_{C_l}) \in CPA(\mathcal{O}_N, \omega_l^{(n)}, \delta). \tag{2.2}$$

This shows that $\mathrm{rcp}(\omega_l^{(n)}, \delta) \leq C_l N^m$,

$$\log \mathrm{rcp}(\omega_l^{(n)}, \delta) \leq C_l + m \log N = C_l + ((k-1)n + l) \log N$$

and finally

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \log \mathrm{rcp}(\omega_l^{(n)}, \delta) \right) \leq (k-1) \log N.$$

The Kolmogorov-Sinai property for noncommutative entropy ends the proof. $\square$

The proof above remains valid for any unital completely positive map on $\mathcal{O}_N$ which satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 1.3, and again can be suitably adapted to the context of (higher-rank) graph algebras O_Λ. As we are not aware of any interesting and natural examples of such ucp maps for O_Λ (apart from the canonical shifts in various directions analysed in [SZ]), we decided to present the result in the context of specific endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_N$.

If the endomorphism ρ_u leaves the canonical masa $\mathcal{C}_N$ invariant, it is also possible to obtain, exactly as in [SZ], estimates for the noncommutative pressure ([KP]) of any selfadjoint element of $\mathcal{C}_N$. Note that in this case however the estimate will not necessarily be optimal nor will it have to coincide with the pressure of the corresponding element computed in $\mathcal{C}_N$ viewed as the commutative subalgebra. This can be deduced from results in the next section.

It is easy to see that it is both possible to have $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_u) = 0$ (for the identity endomorphism) and $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_u) = (k-1)\log N$ (for the endomorphism given by $(k-1)$-th iterate of the canonical shift). Note that as ρ_u need not leave the canonical masa in $\mathcal{O}_N$ invariant, we cannot always use the classical topological entropy to obtain the estimates from below (as was done in [BG] and [SZ]).

Let us stress that the examples below will show that even if ρ_u leaves $\mathcal{C}_N$ invariant it is not necessarily true that $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_u) = \mathrm{ht}(\rho_u|_{\mathcal{C}_N})$ although we will exhibit in each of the examples a special product masa $\mathcal{C} = \otimes_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{C}_i$, where $\mathcal{C}_i = \mathcal{C}_j$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_u) = \mathrm{ht}(\rho_u|_{\mathcal{C}})$. Notice that for the canonical shift endomorphism $\mathrm{ht}(\theta) = \mathrm{ht}(\theta|_{\mathcal{C}})$ for each special product masa $\mathcal{C}$.

3. ENTROPY VALUES FOR CERTAIN PERMUTATIVE ENDOMORPHISMS OF $\mathcal{O}_2$

This section is devoted to computing the entropy of all permutative endomorphisms $\rho_\sigma : \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathcal{O}_2$, where σ is a permutation of $\mathcal{J}_2$. These 24 endomorphisms were listed and classified up to unitary equivalence in [Ka]. Note first that our entropy estimate in Theorem 2.2 implies that $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma) \le \log 2$. Below we will compute the actual value of $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma)$ (and of $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma|_{\mathcal{F}_2})$, $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma|_{\mathcal{C}_2})$). It turned out that in all cases either ρ_σ restricted to the standard masa or a suitable product masa gives entropy $\log 2$ hence $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma) = \log 2$ or we could show directly that the entropy of ρ_σ is 0, so that our methods are essentially commutative and do not use Voiculescu's definition.

The notation will coincide with that of [Ka]; we identify $\mathcal{J}_2 = \{(1,1),(1,2),(2,1),(2,2)\}$ with $\{1,2,3,4\}$. The subscripts in the symbols denoting permutations (e.g. $\sigma_{(12)(34)}$) will then correspond to the cycle decomposition of the permutation. Thus for instance $u_{(1,2)}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{(1,2)} &= s_1s_1(s_1s_2)^* + s_1s_2(s_1s_1)^* + s_2s_1(s_2s_1)^* + s_2s_2(s_2s_2)^* \\ &= s_1s_1s_2^*s_1^* + s_1s_2s_1^*s_1^* + s_2s_1s_1^*s_2^* + s_2s_2s_2^*s_2^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\rho_{(1,2)}$ acts on the generators s_1, s_2 as follows

$$\rho_{(1,2)}(s_1) = u_{(1,2)}s_1 = s_1s_1s_2^* + s_1s_2s_1^*$$

and

$$\rho_{(1,2)}(s_2) = u_{(1,2)}s_2 = s_2s_1s_1^* + s_2s_2s_2^* = s_2.$$

The following table, modelled on that of [Ka], summarises the results of the entropy computations. (In this table $s_{ij,k}$ denotes $s_is_js_k^*$.)

Notice that all automorphisms in this table have entropy 0 (these are ρ_{id}, $\rho_{(12)(34)}$, $\rho_{(13)(24)}$, $\rho_{(14)(23)}$). It is possible that permutative endomorphisms always have entropy 0.

Conti and Szymański ([CS$_2$]) have recently extended the table below by determining the indices of the endomorphisms which shows that entropy and index seem to be related, although several combinations may occur.

Table 1. Entropy of the 'rank 2' permutative endomorphisms of $\mathcal{O}_2$.

ρ_σ	$\rho_\sigma(s_1)$	$\rho_\sigma(s_2)$	$\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma)$	$\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma\vert_{\mathcal{C}_2})$
ρ_{id}	s_1	s_2	0	0
ρ_{12}	$s_{12,1}+s_{11,2}$	s_2	$\log 2$	0
ρ_{13}	$s_{21,1}+s_{12,2}$	$s_{11,1}+s_{22,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{14}	$s_{22,1}+s_{12,2}$	$s_{21,1}+s_{11,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{23}	$s_{11,1}+s_{21,2}$	$s_{12,1}+s_{22,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{24}	$s_{11,1}+s_{22,2}$	$s_{21,1}+s_{12,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{34}	s_1	$s_{22,1}+s_{21,2}$	$\log 2$	0
ρ_{123}	$s_{12,1}+s_{21,2}$	$s_{11,1}+s_{22,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{132}	$s_{21,1}+s_{11,2}$	$s_{12,1}+s_{22,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{124}	$s_{12,1}+s_{22,2}$	$s_{21,1}+s_{11,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{142}	$s_{22,1}+s_{11,2}$	$s_{21,1}+s_{12,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{134}	$s_{21,1}+s_{12,2}$	$s_{22,1}+s_{11,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{143}	$s_{22,1}+s_{12,2}$	$s_{11,1}+s_{21,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{234}	$s_{11,1}+s_{21,2}$	$s_{22,1}+s_{12,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{243}	$s_{11,1}+s_{22,2}$	$s_{12,1}+s_{21,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{1234}	$s_{12,1}+s_{21,2}$	$s_{22,1}+s_{11,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{1243}	$s_{12,1}+s_{22,2}$	$s_{11,1}+s_{21,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{1324}	s_2	$s_{12,1}+s_{11,2}$	$\log 2$	0
ρ_{1342}	$s_{21,1}+s_{11,2}$	$s_{22,1}+s_{12,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
ρ_{1423}	$s_{22,1}+s_{21,2}$	s_1	$\log 2$	0
ρ_{1432}	$s_{22,1}+s_{11,2}$	$s_{12,1}+s_{21,2}$	$\log 2$	$\log 2$
$\rho_{(12)(34)}$	$s_{12,1}+s_{11,2}$	$s_{22,1}+s_{21,2}$	0	0
$\rho_{(13)(24)}$	s_2	s_1	0	0
$\rho_{(14)(23)}$	$s_{22,1}+s_{21,2}$	$s_{12,1}+s_{11,2}$	0	0

By Lemma 1.4 each ρ_σ leaves the canonical masa invariant and we always have a 'commutative model' for our endomorphism. To be more precise, the algebra $\mathcal{C}_2$ is $*-$isomorphic to the algebra $C(\mathfrak{C})$ of continuous functions on the infinite product space $\mathfrak{C} = \{(w_k)_{k=1}^\infty : w_k \in \{1,2\}\}$ (equipped with the usual metric making it a 0-dimensional compact space). The standard isomorphism is given by the linear extension of the map $s_I s_I^* \mapsto \chi_{Z_I}$, where Z_I denotes the set of those sequences in $\mathfrak{C}$ which begin with the finite sequence I. Each of the endomorphisms ρ_σ restricted to $\mathcal{C}_2$ corresponds therefore to a continuous map $T_\sigma : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}$ via $\rho_\sigma(x) = x \circ T_\sigma$, where we regard $x \in \mathcal{C}_2$ as a continuous function on $\mathfrak{C}$. Whilst it is well-known and easy to see that for the shift endomorphism T is simply the left shift on the sequence $(w_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ we have in general only somewhat indirect information on how exactly T_σ looks like for a given σ. We note the following useful observations.

Lemma 3.1. *(i) Suppose that*

$$\rho_\sigma(s_I s_I^*) = s_{I_1} s_{I_1}^* + \cdots + s_{I_m} s_{I_m}^*$$

for a given $I \in \mathcal{J}$. Then $s_{I_1} s_{I_1}^*, \cdots, s_{I_m} s_{I_m}^*$ are pairwise orthogonal and T_σ restricts to a bijection

$$T_\sigma : \bigcup_{j=1}^m Z_{I_j} \to Z_I.$$

(ii) Suppose that for a given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$

$$\rho_\sigma\Big(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_k, j_k = i} s_J s_J^*\Big) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} s_{I_l} s_{I_l}^*.$$

Then

$$(T_\sigma(w))_k = i \text{ if and only if } w \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{n} Z_{I_l}.$$

We will often also make use of the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $T : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}$ is a continuous map for which the following implication holds true: if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $v, w \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $w|_{k+1]} \neq v|_{k+1]}$ then either $(Tw)|_{k]} \neq (Tv)|_{k]}$ or $w|_{k]} \neq v|_{k]}$. Then $h_{top}(T) \geq \log 2$.*

Proof. Follow the usual argument using (n, ϵ)-separated subsets of $\mathfrak{C}$ (see [Wa]). $\square$

The rest of the paper is devoted to showing how to obtain the entropy values listed in Table 1.

Identity map. Entropy 0.

Shift endomorphism. Arises from σ_{23}. The entropy is equal to $\log 2$ (see [BG]). The shift θ leaves the canonical masa invariant and $\mathrm{ht}(\theta) = \mathrm{ht}(\theta|_{\mathcal{F}_2}) = \mathrm{ht}(\theta|_{\mathcal{C}_2})$.

Flip transformation. Arises from $\sigma_{(13)(24)}$, acts as $\rho_\sigma(s_1) = s_2$, $\rho_\sigma(s_2) = s_1$. The entropy is 0; this follows immediately from general results of [DS], but can be also easily deduced directly.

The transformation induced by σ_{12}. Denote it simply by ψ. It is defined by

$$\psi(s_1) = s_1 s_2 s_1^* + s_1 s_1 s_2^*, \quad \psi(s_2) = s_2.$$

We have ($n, m \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$\psi(s_1^n) = s_1 s_2^n s_1^* + s_1 s_2^{n-1} s_1 s_2^*, \;\; \psi(s_2^m) = s_2^m$$

(the first formula can be easily shown inductively, and the second is obvious). This leads to

$$\psi(s_1^{i_1} s_2^{j_1} \cdots s_2^{j_{k-1}} s_1^{i_k}) = s_1 s_2^{i_1 - 1} s_1 s_2^{j_1 - 1} \cdots s_1 s_2^{j_{k-1}-1} s_1 (s_2^{i_k - 1} s_1 s_2^* + s_2^{i_k} s_1^*),$$
$$\psi(s_1^{i_1} s_2^{j_1} \cdots s_2^{j_{k-1}} s_1^{i_k} s_2^{j_k}) = s_1 s_2^{i_1 - 1} s_1 s_2^{j_1 - 1} \cdots s_1 s_2^{j_{k-1}-1} s_1 s_2^{i_k - 1} s_1 s_2^{j_k - 1}$$

($k \in \mathbb{N}$, $i_1, \ldots, i_k, j_1, \ldots, j_k \in \mathbb{N}$). Further if $s_\nu = s_1^{i_1} s_2^{j_1} \cdots s_2^{j_{k-1}} s_1^{i_k}$ then

$$\psi(s_\nu s_\nu^*) = s_{\nu_1} s_{\nu_1}^* + s_{\nu_2} s_{\nu_2}^*,$$

where

$$s_{\nu_1} = s_1 s_2^{i_1 - 1} s_1 s_2^{j_1 - 1} \cdots s_1 s_2^{j_{k-1}-1} s_1 s_2^{i_k - 1} s_1,$$
$$s_{\nu_2} = s_1 s_2^{i_1 - 1} s_1 s_2^{j_1 - 1} \cdots s_1 s_2^{j_{k-1}-1} s_1 s_2^{i_k}.$$

This shows immediately that

$$\psi(s_\nu s_\nu^*) = s_{\widetilde{\nu}} s_{\widetilde{\nu}}^*,$$

where

$$s_{\widetilde{\nu}} = s_1 s_2^{i_1 - 1} s_1 s_2^{j_1 - 1} \cdots s_1 s_2^{j_{k-1}-1} s_1 s_2^{i_k - 1}.$$

If we have an index ending with 2, so that $s_\mu = s_1^{i_1} s_2^{j_1} \cdots s_2^{j_{k-1}} s_1^{i_k} s_2^{j_k}$, then

$$\psi(s_\mu s_\mu^*) = s_{\widetilde{\mu}} s_{\widetilde{\mu}}^*,$$

where

$$s_{\widetilde{\mu}} = s_1 s_2^{i_1-1} s_1 s_2^{j_1-1} \cdots s_1 s_2^{j_{k-1}-1} s_1 s_2^{i_k-1} s_1 s_2^{j_k-1}.$$

Note that each occurrence of s_1 in $\psi(s_\mu s_\mu^*)$ is caused by a 'change' in the sequence represented by μ (if we assume that all sequences μ have s_2 as the 0-th element). This observation implies that any sequence μ ending in 2 gives an output sequence with an even number of 1's (even number of changes), so that $\psi|_{\mathcal{C}_2}$ is induced by the transformation of $\mathfrak{C}$ given by

$$(T_\psi(w))_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sharp\{j \leq k : w_j = 1\} \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 & \text{if } \sharp\{j \leq k : w_j = 1\} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $h_{\mathrm{top}}(T_\psi) = 0$, so also $\mathrm{ht}(\psi|_{\mathcal{C}_2}) = 0$.

We will see that there is another masa in $\mathcal{O}_N$ which is left invariant by ψ and such that the corresponding restriction has entropy $\log 2$. Let $X = s_1 s_2^* + s_2 s_1^*$. Then

$$\psi(X) = s_1 s_2 s_1^* s_2^* + s_1 s_1 s_2^* s_2^* + s_2 s_1 s_2^* s_1^* + s_2 s_2 s_1^* s_1^* = s_1 X s_2^* + s_2 X s_1^*.$$

Moreover if $\theta : \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathcal{O}_2$ denotes the canonical shift endomorphism then

$$\psi(\theta(X)) = \psi(s_1 X s_1^* + s_2 X s_2^*) = \psi(s_1)(s_1 X s_2^* + s_2 X s_1^*)\psi(s_1^*) + s_2 \psi(X) s_2^* = \theta(\psi(X)).$$

We will now show that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\theta^k(\psi(X)) = \psi(\theta^k(X)) \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose we have shown for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\theta^n(\psi(X)) = \psi(\theta^n(X))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\theta^{n+1}(X)) &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_{n+1}} \psi(s_\mu X s_\mu^*) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{J}_n} (\psi(s_1)\psi(s_\nu X s_\nu^*)\psi(s_1^*) + s_2 \psi(s_\nu X s_\nu^*) s_2^*) \\ &= \psi(s_1) \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{J}_n} s_\nu \psi(X) s_\nu^* \psi(s_1)^* + s_2 \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{J}_n} s_\nu \psi(X) s_\nu^* s_2^* \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_{n+1}} s_\mu \psi(X) s_\mu^* = \theta^{n+1}(\psi(X)). \end{aligned}$$

The second last equality follows if we notice that $\psi(s_1)s_\nu = s_1 s_{\widetilde{\nu}}$, where $\widetilde{\nu}$ equals ν but with the first letter 'switched'.

The formula (3.1) will become useful when we view the UHF algebra $\mathcal{F}_2$ as the tensor product $\bigotimes_{i=1}^\infty M_2^{(i)}$. Define first

$$E = \frac{1}{2}(I + X), \quad F = \frac{1}{2}(I - X).$$

It is clear that E and F are minimal projections in the first matrix factor of the UHF algebra. Thus the algebra generated by $\{\theta^n(E), \theta^n(F) : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ is a masa, further denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{E,F}$. Because of (3.1) we immediately see that also

$$\theta^k(\psi(E)) = \psi(\theta^k(E)), \quad \theta^k(\psi(F)) = \psi(\theta^k(F))$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As in the tensor picture $\psi(X) = X \otimes X$, it is easy to see that

$$\psi(E) = E \otimes E + F \otimes F, \quad \psi(F) = F \otimes F + E \otimes E.$$

In conjunction with the previous statement this implies that ψ leaves $\mathcal{C}_{E,F}$ invariant. The algebra $\mathcal{C}_{E,F}$ is isomorphic to $C(\mathfrak{C})$. The isomorphism may be given for example by identifying E with χ_{Z_1} and F with χ_{Z_2} so that for example $E \otimes F \otimes E \otimes E$ is mapped to $\chi_{Z_{1211}}$. It is easy to show that $T_{E,F}$, the induced continuous map on $\mathfrak{C}$, is given by the formula:

$$(T_{E,F}(w))_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w_k = w_{k+1}, \\ 2 & \text{if } w_k \neq w_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

By lemma 3.2 $\mathrm{ht}(\psi_{\mathcal{C}_{E,F}}) = h_{\mathrm{top}}(T_{E,F}) = \log 2$. Together with Theorem 2.2 this implies that

$$\mathrm{ht}(\psi) = \mathrm{ht}(\psi|_{\mathcal{F}_2}) = \log 2.$$

The transformation induced by σ_{1324}. Let ψ denote again the endomorphism induced by σ_{12} and let ψ' denote the one induced by σ_{1324}. Then

$$\psi'(s_1) = \psi(s_2), \;\; \psi'(s_2) = \psi'(s_1).$$

Note that this implies in particular that on the masa $\mathcal{C}_{E,F}$ introduced earlier the endomorphisms ψ' and ψ coincide. Indeed, $\psi(E) = \psi'(E)$ and also

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(\theta^n(E)) &= \psi'(\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_n} s_\mu E s_\mu^*) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_n} \psi'(s_\mu)\psi'(E)\psi'(s_\mu^*) \\ &= \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_n} \psi(s_\mu)\psi(E)\psi(s_\mu^*) = \psi(\theta^n(E)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathrm{ht}(\psi') \geq \mathrm{ht}(\psi'|_{\mathcal{C}_{E,F}}) = \mathrm{ht}(\psi|_{\mathcal{C}_{E,F}}) = \log 2$ and we obtain

$$\mathrm{ht}(\psi) = \mathrm{ht}(\psi|_{\mathcal{F}_2}) = \log 2.$$

Note that as $\psi'(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_k, j_k = 1} s_J s_J^*) = \psi(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_k, j_k = 2} s_J s_J^*)$, the restriction of ψ' to $\mathcal{C}_2$ is isomorphic to the map given by

$$(T_{\psi'}(w))_k = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \sharp\{j \leq k : w_j = 1\} \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } \sharp\{j \leq k : w_j = 1\} \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

and thus $\mathrm{ht}(\psi'|_{\mathcal{C}_2}) = 0$.

The transformation induced by $\sigma_{(14)(23)}$. It is shown in [Ka] that this endomorphism is given by the conjugation with the unitary $s_1 s_2^* + s_2 s_1^*$. Thus it leaves each of the subspaces $F_{p,l}$ $(p, l \in \mathbb{N})$ invariant and one can easily deduce using the Kolmogorov-Sinai property that its entropy is 0.

The transformation induced by $\sigma_{(12)(34)}$. This one is the composition of the flip automorphism and $\rho_{(14)(23)}$. As they both leave $F_{p,l}$ invariant, the entropy is 0.

The transformations induced by $\sigma_{14}, \sigma_{132}, \sigma_{124}, \sigma_{143}, \sigma_{234}, \sigma_{1243}, \sigma_{1342}$. Let σ be one of the permutations from the above list. It is easy to show inductively that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J \in \mathcal{J}_k$ we have

$$\rho_\sigma(s_J) = s_{J_1} s_1^* + s_{J_2} s_2^*, \tag{3.2}$$

where J_1, J_2 are certain multi-indices in $\mathcal{J}_k$. This implies, as we will show below, that in some special cases the formula for the map $T_{\rho_\sigma} : \mathfrak{C} \to \mathfrak{C}$ induced by the restriction of ψ to $\mathcal{C}_2$ is determined already by the value of $\psi(s_1 s_1^*)$. Let us formulate it as a lemma:

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that the endomorphism* $\rho_\sigma : \mathcal{O}_2 \to \mathcal{O}_2$ *satisfies the condition* (3.2)*. If* $\rho_\sigma(s_1 s_1^*) = s_1 s_2 s_2^* s_1^* + s_2 s_2 s_2^* s_2^*$ *then*

$$(T_{\rho_\sigma}(w))_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{if } w_{k+1} = 2, \\ 2 & \textit{if } w_{k+1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

If $\rho_\sigma(s_1 s_1^*) = s_1 s_1 s_1^* s_1^* + s_2 s_1 s_1^* s_2^*$ *then*

$$(T_{\rho_\sigma}(w))_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{if } w_{k+1} = 1, \\ 2 & \textit{if } w_{k+1} = 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is enough to consider the first case, the second follows in an analogous way. Suppose that $J \in \mathcal{J}$ and J_1, J_2 are as in the formula (3.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\sigma(s_J s_1 s_1^* s_J^*) &= (s_{J_1} s_1^* + s_{J_2} s_2^*)(s_1 s_2 s_2^* s_1^* + s_2 s_2 s_2^* s_2^*)(s_1 s_{J_1}^* + s_2 s_{J_2}^*) \\ &= s_{J_1} s_2 s_2^* s_{J_1}^* + s_{J_2} s_2 s_2^* s_{J_2}^*. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\rho_\sigma\Big(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_k, j_k = 1} s_J s_J^*\Big) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{J}_{k+1}, i_{k+1} = 2} s_I s_I^*$$

and we can finish the proof using 3.1.(ii). □

The analysis of the values at $s_1 s_1^*$ together with Theorem 2.2, Lemma 3.3 and 3.2 show that for any σ from the list $\sigma_{14}, \sigma_{132}, \sigma_{124}, \sigma_{143}, \sigma_{234}, \sigma_{1243}, \sigma_{1342}$ we have

$$\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma) = \mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma|_{\mathcal{C}_2}) = \log 2.$$

The transformation induced by σ_{13}. Let $\sigma = \sigma_{13}$. It is easy to see that actually in this case the formula (3.2) can be made more precise so that we obtain for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J \in \mathcal{J}_k$

$$\rho_\sigma(s_J) = s_{J_1} s_1 s_1^* + s_{J_2} s_2 s_2^*,$$

where now $J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{J}_{k-1}$. Moreover we have

$$\rho_\sigma(s_1 s_1^*) = s_1 s_2 s_2^* s_1^* + s_2 s_1 s_1^* s_2^*,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\sigma(s_J s_1 s_1^* s_J^*) &= (s_{J_1} s_1 s_1^* + s_{J_2} s_2 s_2^*)(s_1 s_2 s_2^* s_1^* + s_2 s_1 s_1^* s_2^*)(s_{J_1} s_1 s_1^* + s_{J_2} s_2 s_2^*)^* \\ &= s_{J_1} s_1 s_2 s_2^* s_1^* s_{J_1}^* + s_{J_2} s_2 s_1 s_1^* s_2^* s_{J_2}^*. \end{aligned}$$

This implies easily that ρ_σ restricted to $\mathcal{C}_2$ is induced by the map

$$(T_{\rho_\sigma}(w))_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w_k \neq w_{k+1}, \\ 2 & \text{if } w_k = w_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

As in the last subsection we obtain

$$\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma) = \mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma|_{\mathcal{C}_2}) = \log 2.$$

The transformation induced by σ_{1432}. The endomorphism is the composition of the inner automorphism $\rho_{(1243)}$ with $\rho_{(13)}$. This implies that ρ_σ restricted to $\mathcal{C}_2$ is induced by the map

$$(T_{\rho_\sigma}(w))_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{ and } w_k \neq w_{k+1} \text{ or } k = 1 \text{ and } w_1 = w_2, \\ 2 & \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{ and } w_k = w_{k+1} \text{ or } k = 1 \text{ and } w_1 \neq w_2, \end{cases}$$

and we obtain

$$\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma) = \mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma|_{\mathcal{C}_2}) = \log 2.$$

The transformation induced by σ_{123}. The endomorphism is given by the formulas

$$\rho_\sigma(s_1) = s_1s_2s_1^* + s_2s_1s_2^*, \quad \rho_\sigma(s_2) = s_1s_1s_1^* + s_2s_2s_2^*,$$

so that

$$\rho_\sigma(s_1s_1^*) = s_1s_2s_2^*s_1^* + s_2s_1s_1^*s_2^*,$$
$$\rho_\sigma(s_2s_2^*) = s_1s_1s_1^*s_1^* + s_2s_2s_2^*s_2^*.$$

It is also easy to check that it has the property described in (3.2). Moreover the following lemma holds true.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $J \in \mathcal{J}_k$. Then*

$$\rho_\sigma(s_Js_1s_1^*s_J^*) = s_{J_1}s_{J_1}^* + s_{J_2}s_{J_2}^*,$$

where J_1, J_2 are certain indices in $\mathcal{J}_{k+1}$ such that the number of constant segments of 1's and 2's in J_1 and in J_2 is even.

Proof. The statement will be proved by induction on k. The case $k = 0$ follows from the explicit formulae before the lemma. Let now $J \in \mathcal{J}_k$ and compute

$$\rho_\sigma(s_1s_Js_J^*s_1^*) = (s_1s_2s_1^* + s_2s_1s_2^*)(s_{J_1}s_{J_1}^* + s_{J_2}s_{J_2}^*)(s_1s_2s_1^* + s_2s_1s_2^*)^*.$$

Suppose first that $J_1 = 1K$ for some $K \in \mathcal{J}_k$. Then

$$(s_1s_2s_1^* + s_2s_1s_2^*)(s_{J_1}s_{J_1}^*)(s_1s_2s_1^* + s_2s_1s_2^*)^* = s_1s_2s_Ks_K^*s_2^*s_1^*.$$

We want to count the constant segments in the multi-index $12K$. A moment of thought shows that it has either equally many constant segments as $1K$ (if K began with 2) or two more (if K began with 1). Similarly if $J_1 = 2K$ for some $K \in \mathcal{J}_{k-1}$ we have

$$(s_1s_2s_1^* + s_2s_1s_2^*)(s_{J_1}s_{J_1}^*)(s_1s_2s_1^* + s_2s_1s_2^*)^* = s_2s_1s_Ks_K^*s_1^*s_2^*,$$

and again the multi-index $21K$ has either equally many constant segments as $2K$ (if K originally began with 1) or two more (if K originally began with 2).

It remains to consider what happens when on the left J is extended by 2 instead of 1:

$$\rho_\sigma(s_2s_Js_J^*s_2^*) = (s_1s_1s_1^* + s_2s_2s_2^*)(s_{J_1}s_{J_1}^* + s_{J_2}s_{J_2}^*)(s_1s_1s_1^* + s_1s_1s_1^*)^*.$$

Suppose first that $J_1 = 1K$ for some $K \in \mathcal{J}_k$. Then

$$(s_1s_1s_1^* + s_2s_2s_2^*)(s_{J_1}s_{J_1}^*)(s_1s_1s_1^* + s_2s_2s_2^*)^* = s_1s_1s_Ks_K^*s_1^*s_1^*.$$

The multindex $11K$ has obviously equally many constant segments as $1K$. An analogous argument suffices if $J_1 = 2K$ for some $K \in \mathcal{J}_{k-1}$ and the inductive proof is finished - the parity of the number of constant segments in the multi-indices appearing in the $(k+1)$-th stage is the same as in those which appeared in the k-th stage. □

The lemma above implies that the map on $\mathfrak{C}$ induced by $\rho_\sigma|_{\mathcal{C}_2}$ is given by the formula:

$$(T_{\rho_\sigma}(w))_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the number of constant segments in } w|_{k+1]} \text{ is even,} \\ 2 & \text{if the number of constant segments in } w|_{k+1]} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This implies again that

$$\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma) = \mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma|_{\mathcal{C}_2}) = \log 2.$$

The transformation induced by σ_{142}. We will apply a method analogous to that used for σ_{123}. Here the endomorphism is given by the formulas

$$\rho_\sigma(s_1) = s_2 s_2 s_1^* + s_1 s_1 s_2^*, \;\; \rho_\sigma(s_2) = s_2 s_1 s_1^* + s_1 s_2 s_2^*,$$

so that

$$\rho_\sigma(s_1 s_1^*) = s_2 s_2 s_2^* s_2^* + s_1 s_1 s_1^* s_1^*,$$
$$\rho_\sigma(s_2 s_2^*) = s_2 s_1 s_1^* s_2^* + s_1 s_2 s_2^* s_1^*.$$

It is also easy to check that it has the property described in (3.2).

The next lemma is analogous to Lemma 3.4 and its proof is omitted.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $J \in \mathcal{J}_k$. Then*

$$\rho_\sigma(s_J s_1 s_1^* s_J^*) = s_{J_1} s_{J_1}^* + s_{J_2} s_{J_2}^*,$$

where J_1, J_2 are certain indices in $\mathcal{J}_{k+1}$ such that $k+$ the number of constant segments in J_1 is even and $k+$ the number of constant segments in J_2 is even.

It follows that

$$(T_{\rho_\sigma}(w))_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k + \text{the number of constant segments in } w|_{k+1]} \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 & \text{if } k + \text{the number of constant segments in } w|_{k+1]} \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we once more obtain

$$\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma) = \mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma|_{\mathcal{C}_2}) = \log 2.$$

The transformations induced by $\sigma_{34}, \sigma_{1423}, \sigma_{24}, \sigma_{1234}, \sigma_{243}$ and σ_{134}. Arise from $\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{1324}, \sigma_{13}, \sigma_{1432}, \sigma_{123}$ and σ_{142} respectively, just by 1 and 2 switching places. The entropy values can thus be read off from the earlier computations.

Remark 3.6. As in all the cases above the maximal value of the topological entropy is attained on a commutative subalgebra, the variational principle has to hold for all ρ_σ. Recall that this means that $\mathrm{ht}(\rho_\sigma) = \sup_\phi h_\phi(\rho_\sigma)$, where the supremum is taken over all states on $\mathcal{O}_2$ left invariant by ρ_σ and $h_\phi(\rho_\sigma)$ denotes the dynamical state entropy of Connes, Narnhofer and Thirring (see [NS]). It can be easily seen that in each case the supremum is realised by the state $\tau \circ \mathbb{E}$.

References

[BG] F. Boca and P. Goldstein, Topological entropy for the canonical endomorphisms of Cuntz-Krieger algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **32** (2000), no. 3, 345–352.

[BJ] O. Bratteli and P.E.T. Jorgensen, "Iterated function Systems and Permutation Representations of the Cuntz algebra", Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. No. 663 (1999).

[Br] N. Brown, Topological entropy in exact C^*-algebras, *Math. Ann.* **314** (1999), no. 2, 347–367.

[Ch] M. Choda, Entropy of Cuntz's canonical endomorphism, *Pacific J. Math.* **190** (1999), no. 2, 235–245.

[CS$_1$] E. Conti and W. Szymański, Labeled Trees and Localized Automorphisms of the Cuntz Algebras, *preprint* OA0805.4654

[CS$_2$] E. Conti and W. Szymański, A Note on End(O_2), *preprint* OA0805.4655

[CKS] E. Conti, J. Kimberley and W. Szymański, More localized automorphisms of the Cuntz algebras, *preprint* OA0808.2843

[Cu$_1$] J. Cuntz, Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **57** (1977), no. 2, 173–185.

[Cu$_2$] J. Cuntz, Automorphisms of certain simple C^*-algebras, in 'Quantum fields—algebras, processes' (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bielefeld, Bielefeld, 1978), pp. 187–196, Springer, 1980.

[DS] K. Dykema and D. Shlyakhtenko, Exactness of Cuntz-Pimsner C^*-algebras, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **44** (2001), 425–444.

[Ka] K. Kawamura, Polynomial endomorphisms of the Cuntz algebras arising from permutations. I. General theory., *Lett. Math. Phys.* **71** (2005), no. 2, 149–158.

[KP] D. Kerr and C. Pinzari, Noncommutative pressure and the variational principle in Cuntz-Krieger-type C^*-algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **188** (2002), no. 1, 156–215.

[NS] S.Neshveyev and E.Størmer, "Dynamical entropy in operator algebras," Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 50. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[SZ] A. Skalski and J. Zacharias, Entropy of shifts in higher rank graph C*-algebras, *Houston Journal of Mathematics* **34** (2008), no.1, 269-282.

[Vo] D. Voiculescu, Dynamical approximation entropies and topological entropy in operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), no. 2, 249–281.

[Wa] P. Walters, "An introduction to ergodic theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics," 79. *Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin,* 1982.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YF
E-mail address: `a.skalski@lancaster.ac.uk`

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, NG7 2RD
E-mail address: `joachim.zacharias@nottingham.ac.uk`